REMARKS

A new title in accordance with the Examiner's helpful suggestion is

submitted.

The rejections of Claim 10-19 and 21 as being unpatentable over Suzuki et

al. in view of Gregory et al. of Claim 20 as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al.

in view of Gregory et al. and further in view of Steinmeier under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a) are respectfully traversed, and reconsideration is requested in light of the

foregoing amendments and following remarks.

Although Applicants agree with the Office's conclusion that the Suzuki et

al. channel and grooves do not have constant cross-sections, they cannot agree

with the Office Action's characterization of the Suzuki et al, seat apparatus as

having a plurality of ventilation channels. As a matter of fact, there is only a

single air vent 23 in the middle of the seat cushion with a series of grooves 24

radiating outwardly from the vent 23.

For establishing a prima facie case of obviousness, the Office must do

more than conjecture about what the references teach. In this connection, the

Office Action can only state that the Gregory et al. patent appears to teach a

constant cross-section based upon its drawings. Even though drawings are

Page 6 of 8

Serial No. 10/577,403

Amendment Dated: April 8, 2008

Reply to Office Action Mailed: January 8, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 095309.57633US

useful for supporting assertions as to the presence of certain elements, they

cannot be used for dimensional features absent a clear disclosure. That is,

patent drawings are usually not scale drawings. Moreover, it is also clear that

Gregory et al. channels 121 and 114 do not intersect in flow terms and the same

can be said about the Suzuki et al. seat apparatus.

The rejections thus do not set for the a prima facie case of obviousness.

Accordingly, early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in

general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this

should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

Page 7 of 8

Serial No. 10/577,403 Amendment Dated: April 8, 2008 Reply to Office Action Mailed: January 8, 2008 Attorney Docket No. 095309.57633US

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #095309.57633US).

Respectfully submitted,

April 8, 2008

James F. McKeown Registration No. 25,406

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300 Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

JFM:slw

5491252_1.DOC